1

9.5 R&D HAS CONTRIBUTED TO INCREASE IN HEALTH SECTOR PRODUCTIVITY*

Christopher Conover

This work is produced by Medical Industry Leadership Institute Open Education Hub and licensed under the Attribution 3.0^{\dagger}

Abstract


Expenditures for R&D have expanded our scientific and technological knowledge; this has contributed to the increase in health sector productivity.


Relative investments in R&D appear to be less in the health sector than in private business overall. By one traditional measure—the ratio of R&D capital to productive capital stock—R&D investments are approximately five times as large in the private sector as in the health services industry (figure 9.5a). Ignoring details of measurement, productive capital stocks simply are a way of measuring the total amount of capital available at any given time, accounting for the fact that all other things being equal, new capital is more productive than old capital.

^{*}Version 1.1: Sep 27, 2013 1:50 pm -0500

 $^{^\}dagger {\rm http://creative commons.org/licenses/by/3.0/}$

9.5a The ratio of R&D capital to productive capital stock is approximately five times as high in private business as in health services






Note: All growth rates calculated through the end of the last year shown in each interval. Health services includes private sector ambulatory health services, hospitals, nursing and residential care facilities. It does not include pharmaceuticals, medical devices, health insurance, or government hospital workers. The data for health services did not begin until 1997.

By some measures, growth in capital stock is higher in some health-related industries than in the general economy. Unless R&D investments also increased at a similarly accelerated rate, the ratio of R&D to capital stocks would tend to decline even if investments in R&D were growing at identical rates in health care compared with the general economy.

Thus, a more "neutral" comparison would be to measure R&D relative to total output. When done, the health sector looks much more comparable to the general economy (figure 9.5b). There have been periods such as 1960-1979 in which relative R&D investments have been higher in health care. However, using this same measure, the current level of R&D in the overall economy is approximately 50 percent higher than in health care.

9.5b The ratio of R&D capital to GDP is higher than the ratio of health care R&D to NHE; the opposite was true from 1960 to 1979





Note: R&D expenditures include both private and public sector spending, regardless of where the R&D was actually conducted.

Neither of these measures proves that the health sector invests "too little" in R&D. First, they are only approximate measures. Second, the measure that compares R&D to productive stocks is limited to one component of the health industry, leaving out the subsector—pharmaceuticals—that arguably is the most important from an R&D perspective. Finally, whether an investment in R&D makes sense in any industry depends on the technological opportunity set available at that time. The expected rate of return to such investments often can depend on advances in basic science (for example, nanotechnology) that are beyond the control of any given industry. As long as there are differences in such rates of return, disparities in the rate of R&D investment are unavoidable.

1 Downloads

Download PowerPoint versions of both figures.

- Figure 9.5a Image Slide (as it appears above)¹
- Figure 9.5a Editable Slide (can be formatted as desired)²
- Figure 9.5b Image Slide (as it appears above)³
- Figure 9.5b Editable Slide (can be formatted as desired)⁴

2 References

- A. Author's calculations.
- B. National Science Foundation.

 $^{^{1}} http://https://hub.mili.csom.umn.edu/content/m10126/latest/9.5aIMG.ppt$

 $^{^2} http://https://hub.mili.csom.umn.edu/content/m10126/latest/9.5aDATA.ppt$

 $^{^3}$ http://https://hub.mili.csom.umn.edu/content/m10126/latest/9.5bIMG.ppt

 $^{^4} http://https://hub.mili.csom.umn.edu/content/m10126/latest/9.5bDATA.ppt$